Disposition of questions and comments received in response to the
Facilities Operations and Maintenance Services (FOMS) Request for Proposals

1) I.146 52.219-11 Special 8(a) Contract Conditions (Feb 1990) (deviation), pg. 51. It is unclear if this FAR clause–that affects the dollar threshold for the 8(a) prime contractor 50% performed services requirement–includes the entire contract dollar value comprised of the "Core Services" and the "IDIQ", or just the "Core Services" value. Q. If it includes the entire contract value to include the IDIQ, but the IDIQ value is TBD (not to exceed $30.5M), how is the prime contractor/bidder going to determine and describe the work split with their subcontractors in their proposal? Q. Please provide additional guidance.	The referenced clause applies to the total contract value which is comprised of the core plus total of all task orders issued. The description of the work split with subcontractors should be in accordance with Solicitation provision L.21, Mission Suitability Proposal Instructions.
2) Page 76, paragraph (5), calls for a matrix showing where in the proposal the technical requirements of the SOW and the evaluation criteria of the RFP are satisfied, and the table in paragraph (b) places it in the Mission Suitability Volume. However, in file # 143494-SOL-001-046.doc, Questions and Comments, question 57, the question refers to needs and objectives of the technical requirements not included in Sections L and M. Presumably this refers to items in the Statement of Work that are not addressed in those sections. The response was that the proposal should contain only references to the technical requirements stated in Sections L and M. Will you consider revising the requirements for the compliance matrix to clarify this issue?	The Government will not revise these requirements.
3) The criteria that defines a significant subcontractor in the Cost Volume differ from the criteria in the Past Performance Volume. Specifically, the criteria in the Cost Volume include participation in one or both of the Representative Task Orders (RTOs), while the criteria in the Past Performance Volume do not address the RTOs. Is this understanding correct?	This understanding is correct.

Disposition of questions and comments received in response to the
Facilities Operations and Maintenance Services (FOMS) Request for Proposals

4) To develop an overhead rate structure for task order/IDIQ requires a means to allocate the over-head cost to a known or estimated cost base. For example there are numerous exempt personnel that can support both Core Work and IDIQ Work (for example, Project Manager, Quality Manager, etc.). There are also non-labor costs that can be used to support IDIQ—depreciation, telecommunications, general office expense and others. How can one develop an over-head sharing between Core and IDIQ work if there is no quantitative IDIQ work specified? Please clarify.	The development of overhead is at the discretion of each offeror and should be in accordance with its business practices.
5) Reference the Technical Library, Appendix 4: We cannot identify space allocation for the planning or engineering personnel. Please verify that adequate space is provided for this function and identify where that space is.	Adequate space is provided for this function. Appendix 4 has been revised accordingly.
6) Reference the Technical Library, Appendix 8: Is the work identified as priority 5 scheduled for recurring PM?	Appendix 8 has been updated. Assets identified as Priority 5 are not scheduled for recurring PMs.
7) Section L, page 82, item (c.)(2.)(b. and c.), What information is the government expecting Offerors to provide with regards to Cognizant Government Inspection Agency and Cognizant ACO? Since Offerors do not have a contract at GSFC, why do Offerors have to provide the information for the ACO? Request clarification as to what the government is looking for in these two items.	This information should only be provided if applicable to the prime contractor or any significant subcontractors.
8) Section L. page 83, Item (3.), many elements of FAR 9.104 are required to be provided by the COR. Request guidance/clarification about which specific elements of FAR 9.104 offerors are to address in the Responsibility Information Section.	Offerors should address the elements under this section that are not addressed in another proposal volume
9) Page 9, 1.6.5 – Are company vehicles required or allowed to leave the site after hours?	Contractor vehicles are not required to remain on site during off hours. Since the Government is providing fuel for these vehicles the Contractor will need to provide an adequate plan to track and replace fuel consumed while the vehicles are not in use for this requirement.

10) Attachment A, Statement of Work, section 2.6 Data—Records, Reports, and Work Plan The first paragraph under the section titled, "Data Collection and Reporting," reads: "The Contractor shall provide accurate and timely data collection and reports as specified in the various Sections of this Statement of Work. Accurate documentation using the Facilities Management Division's (FMD) MAXIMO® CMMS application is critical for reporting, budget development, and analysis of frequently reported problems. FMD requires the CMMS to be accurate to properly document and track all work performed by the Contractor from generation to completion. When entering location data, the format shall follow the Archibus standard for NASA GSFC. In addition, the Contractor shall be responsible for reconciling the location data in MAXIMO to match the location data in Archibus. Reconciling location data in Maximo with Archibus is a new requirement, and therefore there is currently no historical information to assist in establishing a level of effort. Questions: How is the location data currently reconciled in Maximo with Archibus? How many of these locations are currently incorrect? What is the method for verifying the accuracy of the reconciled or current data? Monthly, how many locations need to be rectified? How many corrections have been identified/reconciled since the inception of the two systems?	The Government runs two queries to reconcile Maximo with Archibus. There are approximately 1200 locations that currently do not follow the Archibus standard. An initial query is run in Archibus to determine locations not contained in Maximo. A second query is run in Maximo to determine locations not contained in Archibus. Monthly issues are not consistent because it is project driven with new equipment. The number of corrections since inception of the two systems is over 1400.
11) Attachment A, Statement of Work, section 2.6 Data—Records, Reports, and Work Plan	

The fourth paragraph under the section titled, "Data Collection and Reporting," reads: "The Contractor shall maintain the various tables of background information that are managed through CMMS. These tables include the asset inventory of maintainable equipment with attribute data including asset priority, craft codes, job plans (for PM & PTI only), failure code system, warranty data, spare parts, safety plans (IDIQ work), make, model, manufacturer, installation date (if it can be determined), spare parts data, equipment size, and capacity data," In the CMMS database tables, there are fields that currently don't exist or are not complete or accurate. For example, in the Asset Table, there is no field to capture model numbers. This appears to be a simple matter to address from a software or database perspective in that a field just needs to be added; however, it also requires technicians to locate, verify, record, and deliver to work control the specific model number—assuming it exists; from there, work control personnel must query and update the missing or empty field where asset model number can be updated/entered. Questions:	
Who is responsible for creating missing fields in the CMMS database?	The government is responsible for creating missing fields.
As an example, with model numbers, is the government requiring the contractor to update/record model numbers for all equipment on Center (16,000+) or the equipment currently under a PM/PTI regimen?	The contractor is expected to update/record models numbers for all assets installed on or after January 1, 2004.
In some instances, data may not be available or inapplicable (e.g., installation date, model number or serial number – specifically, panel board may have a type but not a model number or a tag maybe missing given its age). What is the process for differentiating fields that are legitimately empty with fields that have yet to be updated? If this, CMMS database table accuracy or "gaps," is an evaluation factor on the Technical Performance Incentive Plan (TPIP), how does the government anticipate addressing "gaps" that are known,	The government will baseline the Technical Performance Measure for gap data as only assets maintained by the FOMS contractor, assets installed on or after 1/1/2004, assets that are in a status of "operating," and assets that have a building number of 001 to 699 or that is a government owned trailer. The fields equipment size and capacity data will be deleted from the list of attribute data.

unforeseen, inconclusive, beyond the control of the contractor, etc.?	
Who will make this determination?	The government will make determination of "gaps."
What is the process to seeking/obtaining this determination?	Refer to the revised Technical Performance Incentive Fee Plan for the process.
Is there an appeal or rebuttal process?	There is no appeal or rebuttal process.
As illustrated in Appendix 8 of the RFP, there are many empty fields. For the TPIP evaluation, is there a "ramp-up" period in which the contractor will be given an opportunity to find and verify the information and populate the fields?	There will not be a "ramp-up" period for the Contractor to find and verify the information and populate the fields.
Given the number of equipment pieces on Center, this and similar requirements may significantly use up operational resources. Will the government consider a phase-in approach that will more evenly balance competing, but important, Center requirements?	Considering the established baseline above, there will not be a phase in period for this requirement.

12) Attachment A, Statement of Work, Section 1.12.4 Predictive Testing and Inspection This requirement reads: "Contractor shall have onsite, vibration analysis capability at or exceeding level 2 as per the vibration Institutes of America requirements. Contractor shall have personnel experienced in all phases of Predictive Testing and Inspection, including but not limited to, Vibration analysis, Motor Circuit Evaluator (MCE), Ultrasonic and Ultrasound testing and inspection, and balancing and alignment of rotational equipment." The requirement calls for Level II Vibration Institute Certification. The Vibration Institute revised their qualification levels and inserted a basic Level I certification. The old Level II is now called Level III, and Level III has become Level IV. Questions: Given the recent changes by the Vibration Institute, the requirement for Level II on the current contract is a reduction in qualifications and may not be sufficient for the advance activities on-site. With this mind, does the government continue to seek to establish the minimal qualifications at Level II?	Sections 1.12.4 and 2.10.3 have been revised to reflect the new Vibration Institute Certification levels.

13) Section B.7 (b) Advance notice of cost increase Questions: Since the average task order runs for about 60 days, it does not seem possible that notice of a cost increase can be provided 115 days in advance of the estimated overrun. How will the Government adjust for variations in task duration?	Notification of task order cost increases should be provided to the Government prior to the overrun occurring regardless of task order duration.
14) Attachment A, Statement of Work Section 2.10.2 Commissioning and Operational Readiness Review (ORR) Requirements The SOW indicates "The contractor shall insure all new equipment is incorporated into the CMMS database." Currently, as we understand it, this function is performed by the Government. Questions: Will all the functions be turned over to the contractor or will we be responsible for incorporating just the equipment attribute data and preventative maintenance plans and strategies? What is the work history or data as it relates to this specific requirement – both from the perspective of completing all functions and the limited role updating just the equipment attribute data and PM plan and strategies?	The Government will continue to enter the asset number and name into the CMMS database. The Contractor will be responsible for entering the equipment attribute data and preventative maintenance plans and strategies. Work history or data is not available for this specific requirement.

15) Do you know where I can find the quantities of steam, condensate, chill water and condenser water, etc to treat? Typically it is found in a Facility Information Sheet of some kind. I am having difficulty in locating those quantities. Also, on page 8, there is a paragraph that states "Until the loss of water from the West Campus chilled water system is brought under control...". Is that loss under control yet? If not what is the make-up rate we are supposed to use to treat that chill water with an alternate program?	This requirement is no longer part of the core requirement as it has been moved to the Indefinite Delivery Indefinite Quantity portion of the contract. Requirements will be provided to the Contractor prior to the issuance of the Task Order. The loss of water from the West Campus chilled water system has been significantly reduced by recent repairs
16) In the water treatment portion, on the Program Calculation Sheet, "system capacity gallons, make-up gallons per year and cycles of concentration" are said to "from Facility Information Sumary...". Can you tell me where I can find that Facility Information Summary?	This requirement is no longer part of the core requirement as it has been moved to the Indefinite Delivery Indefinite Quantity portion of the contract. Requirements will be provided to the Contractor prior to the issuance of the Task Order.
17) Reference: L.14 1852.231-71 (a), pg. 78, states "The proposal shall include a total compensation plan. This plan shall address all proposed labor categories, including those personnel subject to union agreements, the Service Contract Act, and those exempt from both of the above." Our assessment of the staffing requirements includes SCA employees that are not covered by the CBA or Davis-Bacon Act. There is no DOL wage Determination contained in the RFP. Q. Please provide the DOL Wage Determination that governs SCA employees for the GSFC FOMS contract.	Amendment 7 was issued to incorporate the applicable SCA WD for this solicitation.
18) Reference: Attachment A SOW Par. 2.10.1 (a) and (b), pgs. 71-73. The duties and responsibilities for institutional and mission support planners are described in this section, to include "a minimum of a four-year engineering or architectural degree" for mission support planners. Q. Are the planner positions described in this section exempt or CBA? Q. Where are the planners physically located?	It is at each offeror's discretion as to whether proposed labor categories are exempt, CBA, Davis Bacon WD or Service Contract Act WD. Appendix 4 has been updated to include these locations.

19) Reference: Attachment A SOW Par. 2.7.8. pgs.48-50 and Appendix 8. Q. Are the assets identified as Lead 61 in Appendix 8 located in Building 24? If so, are the assets identified maintained (PM'd) by Government or contractor personnel? Q. Is Lead 61 (Shop 61?) composed of Government or contractor personnel?	Assets identified as Lead 61 in Appendix 8 are located in Building 24. PMs identified with a Shop Lead of "61" are currently maintained by the Government. Lead 61 (Shop 61) is composed of Government personnel.
20) Many unanswered questions have been submitted to the Government that will impact our proposal response. The answers and clarifications from the Government are necessary for preparing an accurate, timely and compliant proposal submission. Even though the Government has issued a short extension in Amendment 2, additional preparation time will be required for bidders to analyze how the answers and clarifications should be addressed in their proposals. Q. When can we expect the Government to release the questions and answers? Q. Will the Government provide an additional extension of the proposal due date at the same time the Questions and Answers are released to provide additional time to complete our proposals.	The Government is providing answers as quickly as possible. The Government does not plan to extend the proposal due date past the date of March 1, 2011.
21) Several unanswered questions have been submitted regarding Past Performance criteria and reference requirements that will affect our selection of contract references, how we write our Past Performance Volume narrative, and to whom we will send our Past Performance reference questionnaires. Q. When can we expect the Government to provide the answers?	The Government is providing answers as quickly as possible.

22) Reference: Attachment A SOW and the answer to Question 49. The Government stated the current level of staffing at approximately 110. It is not clear if this number is just for the baseline contract services or also includes IDIQ effort. This is important because the IDIQ workload data has not been identified, which includes IDIQ services outside of the baseline contract services and work described within the baseline services that is issued as IDIQ Task Order because it exceeds the baseline services requirements. Q. Does this staffing number include full and part time personnel. If so, please identify. Q. Does this staffing include just the baseline contract services or does it also include IDIQ? Q. If this includes the IDIQ work, please categorize the personnel and provide a breakdown between those providing baseline contract services and each of the 21 IDIQ categories listed in Part 3 of the SOW.	The staffing number is the number of full time personnel. The staffing number is the number of individuals utilized under the Core Services SOW requirement.
23) The fire protection equipment list does not seem to be complete, but only list fire alarm zones rather than individual devices or the total counts of fire alarm devices. Can the fire alarm device counts per building be provided? Please provide the number of smoke detectors, under-floor smoke detectors, manual pull stations, heat detectors, duct detectors, beam detectors, flow switches, pressure switches, tamper switches, horn-strobes, bells, and strobes?	There are approximately 10,000 smoke detectors/under-floor smoke detectors/heat detectors/duct detectors/beam detectors. There are approximately 951 manual pull stations, 2,854 strobes/horn-strobes, and 1,696 bells . The number of flow switches, ,pressure switches and tamper switches in unknown.
24) Are all buildings fully sprinklered? If not, what % of each building is sprinklered?	All buildings are fully sprinklered; however, there may be some deficiencies in head locations.
25) Are there dry pipe sprinkler systems or pre-action sprinkler systems? If so, how many of each? If pre-action, how many devices are associated with each system, such as the number of ceiling smoke detectors, below-floor smoke detectors, manual pull stations, horn-strobes, bells, and strobes?	There are 6 dry pipe sprinkler systems and 2 pre-action sprinkler systems. The number of devices associated with each pre-action sprinkler system are unavailable. The majority of all detectors will require at least a ladder to reach. The Government does not know the number of detectors that are above 12' that require a lift.

What areas have detectors that are above 12' that would require a lift or a ladder to reach? How many detectors above 12' are there in each building?	
26) Please provide details of each clean agent suppression system: how many systems? How many cylinders are utilized for each system? How many devices are associated with each system such as the number of ceiling smoke detectors, below-floor smoke detectors, manual pull stations, horn-strobes, bells, and strobes?	There are 6 clean agent suppression systems. One cylinder is utilized for each system. One system has 1 pull station, 5 nozzles, 2 appliances nozzles and 2 plenum nozzles; one system has 1 pull station, 2 nozzles, 1 appliance nozzles and 1 plenum nozzle; one system has 1 pull station, 4 nozzles, 1 appliance nozzle and 2 plenum nozzles; one system has 1 pull station, 1 nozzle, 1 appliance nozzle and 2 plenum nozzles; one system has 1 pull station, 4 nozzles, 4 appliance nozzles and 1 plenum nozzle; one system has 1 pull station, 5 nozzles, 3 appliance nozzles and 6 plenum nozzles. The devices associated with each system is unknown; however, these systems are utilized in areas such as: food preparation equipment areas, and special labs.
27) How many elevators in each building have recall which would require testing?	All elevators in all buildings have recall.
28) What type of scheduling coordination will be required to test the fire alarm, sprinkler, suppression systems or portable fire extinguishers? What type of slow-downs can be expected? What work hours, normal or night/weekend work?	Mission Critical facilities require schedule coordination with appropriate Government personnel. Slow-downs due to launch freezes or construction may be encountered. Most work is accomplished during normal work hours.
29) Is it a requirement that all past performance evaluations be sent in by January 27th in order to submit a response to the FOMS RFP??	Past performance evaluations will be accepted up until the proposal closing date/time.
30) Is it a requirement that offeror have current Government accepted business systems in order to submit a response??	An offeror must have an adequate accounting system in order to submit an proposal.
31) Will there be an extension of RFP due date beyond 2/11/2011??	The proposal due date was extended to 3/1/11 per Amendment 3.

32) Reference: Attachment S Asbestos Abatement Schedule-The subject Bid Schedule in numerous areas has specified a unit value of "Each". In many instances there is not sufficient information to price the efforts. We make specific reference to the following items on the schedule: A.33 and A.56 (items G.6, G.7, G.8c, G.8d, G.10, G.11, G.13-G.17). Please provide a unit value that can be priced.	Unit values are provided in Exhibit 11 – Pricing Charts.
33) Questions were asked regarding an SCA wage determination for the subject solicitation. In Response #1 the Government responded that WDs MD75 and MD138 had been provided with Amendment 1. However, these are Davis Bacon wage determinations. As far as we can determine no SCA WD has been provided. The RFP does contain a collective bargaining agreement. However, there are positions that need to be proposed that are not union positions (not covered by the CBA). Please provide a SCA WD to offerors.	Amendment 7 was issued to incorporate the applicable SCA WD for this solicitation.

34) Reference: L.22 (b) pg. 98, states "The Offeror shall instruct each of its references to return the questionnaire directly to the Government in a sealed envelope," and "The Offeror is responsible for ensuring that the questionnaire is completed and submitted directly to the NASA Goddard Space Flight Center Contracting Officer no later than January 27, 2011." Reference: Exhibit 8 Past performance Questionnaire, states "RETURN THIS QUESTIONNAIRE TO NASA Goddard Space Flight Center…BY TBD. Reference Amendment 2: Extended the proposal due date to February 11, 2001. Q. Does the extension also apply to the Past Performance Questionnaire due date? Please clarify the due date. Q. Our reference customers have expressed a desire to email the PPQ to the CO. This method of delivery will give our reference customers more time to complete the form and minimize the time required to submit via mail. Bidders can also more easily track and document the successful delivery of the PPQ. Is this acceptable to the Government?	Past performance evaluations will be accepted up until the proposal closing date/time. The Government will accept past performance questionnaires submitted by Email or FAX.

35) **Reference:** In the Governments response to questions 15), the Government stated "The Government expects the Contractor to PM and PT&I all low voltage systems that are in Appendix 8 "List if Assets". **Question:** Please identify the low voltage systems listed in Appendix 8, "List of Assts" the Governments expects the Contractor to PM and PT&I. Please include the "Lead Shop" designator.	The Government expects the Contractor to PM and PT&I all equipment listed in the "Core Assets with PM and PT&I" tab of Appendix 8. Shop leads are identified in the "Shop Leads" tab of Appendix 8.
36) **Reference:** In the Governments response to questions 16), the Government stated "The Government expects the Contractor to PM and PT&I all high voltage systems that are in Appendix 8 "List if Assets". **Question:** Please identify the high voltage systems listed in Appendix 8, "List of Assts" the Governments expects the Contractor to PM and PT&I. Please include the "Lead Shop" designator.	The Government expects the Contractor to PM and PT&I all equipment listed in the "Core Assets with PM and PT&I" tab of Appendix 8. Shop leads are identified in the "Shop Leads" tab of Appendix 8.
37) **Reference:** In the Governments response to questions 17), the Government stated "The Government does expect the Contractor to PM and PT&I on all High Voltage assets are listed in Appendix 8. **Question:** Is that the High Voltage assets listed in Tab "Core Assets with PM & PT&I or High Voltage assets listed in Tab "List of Assets"? If from the "List of Assts" please identify the High Voltage assts listed the Governments expects the Contractor to PM and PT&I. Please include the "Lead Shop" designator.	The Government expects the Contractor to PM and PT&I all equipment listed in the "Core Assets with PM and PT&I" tab of Appendix 8. Shop leads are identified in the "Shop Leads" tab of Appendix 8.
38) **Reference:** SOW 2.7.1 **Question:** Please confirm the Government expects a final	"Visible areas" are considered typical spaces seen and utilized by building occupants on a regular basis, i.e., offices, conference rooms,

inspection walk-through of visible areas for all Priority 3 and 4 work? Please define "visible areas". Who is responsible for ensuring the BOM attends the final inspection. What if the BOM is not available within the 3 day window, is the inspection delayed? Accomplished without the BOM? Please clarify.	hallways, restrooms, etc. It is the Contractor's responsibility to coordinate the final inspection and ensure all participants are aware of the inspection. All efforts should be made to ensure the participation of all individuals; however, if any delays are experienced the COTR should be notified. All efforts should be made to ensure the participation of all individuals; however, if any delays are experienced the COTR should be notified.
39) **Reference:** Appendix 8 "List of Assets". There are 18,622 assets listed of which 6,516 are listed in the "Core Assets with PM & PTI" Tab. **Question:** Please identify which of the remaining 12,106 assets listed on the "List of Assets" Tab the Government expects the Contractor to perform PM and PT&I? Please identify those assets by "Lead Shop".	The Government expects the Contractor to PM and PT&I all equipment listed in the "Core Assets with PM and PT&I" tab of Appendix 8. Shop leads are identified in the "Shop Leads" tab of Appendix 8.
40) Reference: Appendix 8 "List of Assets" Tab. **Question:** In addition to low voltage and high voltage assets, what other assets, i.e., Life Safety, Mechanical/HVAC, Plumbing, Controls Pneumatic, etc. does the Government expect the Contractor to perform PM and PT&I that is not included in the "Core Assets with PM & PTI" Tab?	The Government expects the Contractor to PM and PT&I all equipment listed in the "Core Assets with PM and PT&I" tab of Appendix 8. Shop leads are identified in the "Shop Leads" tab of Appendix 8.

41) Amendment 0003 removed Chemical/Water Treatment from the "Core Services" of the contract and moved it to Part 3, Section 3.22 of the PWS to be IDIQ type work. Are Offerors to provide pricing for Chemical/Water Treatment within Attachment F-1 "Program Calculation Sheets"? Furthermore, the revised solicitation from Amendment 0005 indicates in section C.1 that Core Services include – Attachment A, Parts 1 and 2, and Attachments F-1 and F-2. Additionally, L.21 Cost Volume (Section "Bid Schedules" on page 94) does not reference providing any attachments for Chemical/Water Treatment pricing with the other IDIQ FFP Task Orders (Attachments P through T). Please clarify where and how Offerors are to provide Chemical/Water Treatment pricing based on the revision associated with Amendment 0003.	Attachments F-1 and F-2 are "fill-ins" for each offeror to submit in accordance with Attachment F, Chemical Treatment Statement of Work. Amendment 6 revised Clause C.1, Scope of Work, to remove Attachments F-1 and F-2 from Core Services and move them to IDIQ/CPFF and CPFF/TPIF services. IDIQ labor categories should be proposed for Chemical Treatment via Attachment O.
42) Reference the description of RTO #1 in Section L.20.3 of the RFP. In this scenario, the government indicates that 2" to 3" of snow would fall within four hours followed by a 1/2" ice event. However, the duration of the ice event is not supplied. Since the task order structure for RTO#1 is cost plus fixed fee with technical performance incentive fee, can the government please identify the duration of the 1/2" ice storm?	The duration of the ice event is not relevant for the purposes of pricing. Please refer to the time allocation stated in the SOW for the completion of snow/ice removal for each type of precipitation that occurs during an event.
43) Exhibit 2 of the subject RFP is a Government-furnished form that requires offerors to "Explain Basis of Allocation of Contractor FY Rates to Obtain Contract Year Rates". However, offerors cannot accomplish this without knowing what the "Contract Year" is. The RFP does not provide the Start Date for the contract and therefore we cannot determine how to match-up our FYY with Contract Years. Please provide this information.	Per Provision L.21(2), a 30-day phase in is scheduled to begin on or about November 13, 2011.

Disposition of questions and comments received in response to the
Facilities Operations and Maintenance Services (FOMS) Request for Proposals

44) Amendment 3 removed section 2.7.15 Chemical Treatment from the core services of the contract and made it an Indefinite Delivery/Indefinite Quantity requirement (paragraph 3.22). However, the RFP was not amended to include Chemical Treatment on IDIQ pricing forms. Please add Chemical Treatment to IDIQ pricing forms or advise offerors how to treat the price/rates for this cost.	The referenced pricing forms are for Firm-Fixed-Price IDIQ task orders. Chemical treatment IDIQ task orders will be issued on a Cost-Plus-Fixed-Fee basis.
In Section L of Solicitation No. NNG10000489R, it states to "Provide a copy of a SBA approved joint venture agreement compliant with 13 CFR 124.512, if applicable." In our communication with SBA we've found that SBA does not typically grant final approval of joint ventures until award. Can you clarity that a SBA joint venture approval letter is required as part of the proposal submission?	A SBA joint venture approval letter is required as part of the proposal submission.

Lisa A. Bednarik
Contracting Officer

2/23/11
Date

Concurrence:

Kathleen Pierson
Acting Procurement Manager

2/23/11
Date